

Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University Sector 16C, Dwarka, Delhi-110075 Website: www.ipu.ac.in

ACADEMIC BRANCH

No. IPU-7/JR(Acad.)/Admissions/11/ 17-8

Dated: 24.2.2012

Sub: Order regarding implementation of Report of State Fee Regulatory

Committee for the fee determined during the period 2011-12

A letter No. DHE-4(51)/2009-10/3589 dated 9.2.2012 received from Admn. Officer (Higher Education), DHE, Govt. of NCT of Delhi forwarding therewith Order No. DHE-4(51)/2010-11/3544-59 dated 7.2.2012 of Secretary (Higher Education) regarding report of State Fee Regulatory Committee for the fee determined for privately managed self financing institutions affiliated to the University during the period 2011-12 is enclosed herewith for information of all concerned.

(Col. P. K. Upmanyu) Joint Registrar (Acad.) (TO BE PUBLISHED IN PART –IV OF THE DELHI GAZETTE EXTRA-ORDINARY)

GOVT. OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI DIRECTORATE OF HIGHER EDUCATION B-WING, 2nd FLOOR, 5 SHAM NATH MARG, DELHI-54

F.No. DHE-4(51)/2010-11/3544-59

Dated: the.7/.2./2012

ORDER

F.No.DHE.4(5)/2010-11/-- In exercise of powers conferred by sub-sections(1) and (4) of section 6 of 'The Delhi Professional Colleges or Institutions (Prohibition of Capitation Fee, Regulation of Admission, Fixation of Non-Exploitative Fee and Other Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence) Act, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 of 2007), the Measures to Ensure Equity and Excellence, 2007; (Delhi Act 8 o

The Government of National Capital of Territory of Delhi has accepted the recommendations of the State Fee Regulatory Committee on the fee to be collected from the students of the University/ Board of Technical Education. The recommendations are as mentioned below.

Report of State Fee Regulatory Committee regulating the Fee Structure of privately managed self financed institutions affiliated to Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University/ Board of Technical Education for the academic year 2011-12.

The State Fee Regulatory Committee issued the order on 30.10.2009, which were circulated on 20.01.2010 for the academic year 2009-12 and subsequently issued another order on 17.01.2011 for the academic year 2010-11. In the last order issued on 30.10.2009, the institutions were graded as A+, A, B and C on the basis of information submitted by them in response to two proforma issued by the Committee. The required information from the Institutions were invited in the said two proformas for the academic year 2011-12 also.

On the basis of the information submitted by the institutions, they are graded this time A+, A, B, C, & D. The institutions were called on different days for personal hearing and informing them of the views of the Fee Committee for their response, the institutions attended the hearing.

While there has been improvement in quality of most of the institutions, there has been no improvement in their furnishing financial details as required by the Committee. It was also pointed out to them that in the order issued on 30.10.2009, the institutions were given substantial increase in the fee to be charged from students so that they could meet additional expenditure to be incurred in the granting of arrears and pay scales, consequent to the recommendations of the Sixth Pay Commission. But it was regretfully noted that excepting for eight, no institutions paid arrears and most of them have implemented the recommendations of the Sixth Pay Commission in part. It was also observed that the institutions as listed in Annexure 'C' of the report have not implemented the recommendations of the Pay Commission. Any further delay in implementing the recommendations will attract penal provisions and the Department should also take appropriate action as deemed fit.

In the last order the following error has occurred:

"The fee structure recommended as annexed will be valid for the academic session 2009-10 and 2010-11 and a hike of 5% has been recommended for the students to be admitted to the various courses during the academic session 2011-12."

The correct version should read: "The fee structure recommended as annexed will be valid for the academic session 2009-10 and a hike of 5% of this fee is allowed to be charged in the third year (i.e. 2011-2012) from the students who were enrolled in 2009-10."

The order of 2009-2010 therefore stands corrected as above.

Considering all this, it was felt that an increase of 3-20% as mentioned below would meet the ends of section 7 of "THE DELHI PROFESSIONAL COLLEGES OR INSTITUTIONS (PROHIBITION OF CAPITATION FEE REGULATION OF ADMISSION, FIXATION OF NON-EXPLOITATIVE FEE AND OTHER MEASURES TO ENSURE EQUITY AND EXCELLENCE) ACT, 2007"

TABLE - A

Sl. No	Grade recommended by SFRC	Increase in fee recommended by the committee on the existing fee, for the Academic year 2010-11	
1	A+		
2		20%	
2	A	15%	
2	В	10%	
. 4	C		
5	5%		
J	<i>D</i>	3%	

The raise is being given subject to the condition that the institutions should implement the recommendations of the Sixth pay commission. Failure to do so would entail withdrawal of the increase of next year and the fee collected from the students enrolled this academic year will be accordingly adjusted from next year onwards.

The following institutions though were graded A/B in 2009-10, many of them being new, the fee committee did not allow the full grade fee.

TABLE - B

Sl. No.	Name of Institute (Category)	Programme/Year	Fee fixed for 2009-10
1.	Amity Law School, NOIDA (A)	LLB/1999-2000	
2.	Chanderprabhu Jain College of Higher Studies (B)	LLB/2008-09	37,500/-
3.	Jagannath International Management School, Kalkaji (A)	BBA/2009-10	37,500/-
4.	Shri Guru Tegh Bhadur Institute of Management & IT (B)	BBA/2009-10, BCA 2009-10	36,000/-
5.	St. Lawrance College of Higher Education (B)	B.Ed/2008-09	36,000/-
6.	Institute of Innovation in Tech. & Management (A)	BBA/2009-10, BCA/2009-10	37,500/-
7.	Delhi Institute of Rural Development (B)	BCA/2008-09	36,000/-
3.	Jagannath Institute of Management Studies, Rohini (A)	BBA2010-11 BCA/2010-11	37,500/-
),	Banarsidas Chandiwala Institute of Professional Studies (A)	BBA/2009-10	<i>37,500/- 37,500/-</i>

Some of the above institutions have been representing to the Committee that they have been graded A/B and continuously maintaining the standard. In view of the fact that no hike was given in the fee in the last year and now they should be considered at par with the Institutions graded A/B in 2009-10. The rise be given to them as is being given to the institutions graded A/B 2009-10.

The Committee felt that their plea was a justified one and therefore sanction the fee to these institutions for 2011-12, as if their fee was the same as that of institutions placed in A/B category in the year 2009-10 and the raise be calculated at the lowest fee sanctioned to the A/B institutions for the concerned courses. In respect of Amity Law Institute there was no institute graded 'A' in the year 2009-2010, their raise of fee for the law course may be calculated at the highest fee given to 'B' graded institute for the Law course.

It has been observed that the following institutions even though have been existing for the last five years or more have not improved the quality of education. They have been continuously graded C or D yet some of them claim their students have performed well in the University examinations. The government should seriously consider whether these institutions should continue and NOC could be denied to them after giving reasonable opportunity. If these institutions are allowed to continue there should be minimum of two surprise inspections by a team of academics drawn from outside of the University for their Suitability to provide quality education.

- Delhi College of Advanced Studies, Janakpuri
- 2. V.D. Institute of Technology
- 3. Rao Tula Ram Polytechnic

In view of the affiliation granted by GGSIP University to some of new institutions, the fee committee didn't have time to grade them, there fee may be fixed at the lowest rate given to the corresponding 'C' category institutions for that course in the year 2011-2012. This is purely on ad-hoc measure. In the subsequent year their performance could be assessed as per the fee committee norms and their fee could be fixed as per their new gradation based on their performance.

The committee in its order issued on 30.10.2009 made a few suggestions for improvement of quality in education in the affiliated institutions of the University There seems to be no serious follow up on those suggestions either by the University or by the Department. A quarterly report on action taken by Department be sent to the committee beginning from September of 2011.

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of the National Capital Territory of Delhi

(LALMALSAWMA) Secretary (Higher Education)