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A number of definitions of engagement in the academic literature have been given. Many scholars have explored various aspects related to engagement providing a number of insights among many disciplines. Prior research in engagement showed its relevance for organizations operating in different environments with a diversity of perspectives such as employee engagement (Milliman, Gatling & Kim, 2018; Di Virgilio et al., 2021). According to Kahn (1990), the most commonly used definition, engagement refers to “the harnessing of organization members’ selves to their work roles; in engagement, people employ and express themselves physically, cognitively, and emotionally during role performances” (p. 694). It is the “simultaneous employment and expression of a person’s preferred self in task behaviours that promote connections to work and to others, personal presence (physical, cognitive, and emotional), and active, full role performance” (p. 700). Work engagement is associated with surplus resources, providing one with sufficient energy to perform the job well, this means that work engagement inherently represents an intrinsic work motivation (Zeijen, Peeters, & Hakanen, 2018).

To engage their employees many organizations are interested to promote the engagement of employees in creating spiritual workplaces (Pfeffer, 2010; May et al., 2004; Solima et al., 2021). In this regard, Krishnakumar and Neck (2002) suggested spirituality is recognized as one of the crucial dimensions of the human personality. Therefore, if an organization encourages spirituality, then it is actually encouraging the people to bring their whole self to work (p. 159). Although researchers have argued that workplace spirituality and employee engagement exist as independent topics in their own right, and a small part of the literature connect them and suggest how they might be related (Macey, et al., 2009; Harter, Schmidt, & Hayes, 2002; Saks, 2011).

However, it is also evident that both theory and empirical research methods of this field have to be significantly extended. Although the increasing body of studies on engagement practices in various domains, substantial gaps remain about such research in to engage community. In recent years, to engage communities gradually becomes an important area in organizational behaviour research. Community engagement is the process of working collaboratively with and through groups of people to address issues affecting the well-being of those people. For firms and public policy perspective, “engaging the community offers an opportunity to gain legitimacy, manage social risk, and even co-develop innovative solutions to social problems with community members” (Bowen et al. 2008, p.1).

All types of organizations are interested in learning new and innovative ways to engage their community (Casidy et al., 2022). In the public and private environments need to enhance community engagement for better governance and dissemination of information (Cavaye, 2004) through mass media platforms (Di Virgilio et al., 2022). Social media
engagement is a major area of interest for firms, to understand the strategic role of sharing into online experiences by consumers’ interactions (Khan, 2017; Dolan, Conduit, Fahy & Goodman, 2016; Sashi, 2012).

The principles and issues need to be considered if effective community engagement is to be achieved, and include many aspects such as to: analyze users’ interaction, the main drivers to study the level of the engagement; recognize the context specificity of activities and information, understand the social networks that can assist with information exchange; develop a participatory contract between stakeholders outlining roles and responsibilities, ensure the use of tools and strategies at the empowerment end of the engagement continuum (Kruger et al., 2009). Aslin and Brown (2004) indicate that community engagement is not just a single event, but an ongoing process with the aim of ‘engaging the community to take action’ (p.3). They further stress that the community engagement process does not stand alone, but forms part of another process - that of ‘decision-making for a particular purpose’ (p.3). Scholars and practitioners should continue to deepen the engagement process in the communities in the world, as the researches surrounding has not kept pace with this perspective.

This special issue will solve some real-life dilemmas of decision-makers on – how to engage the community and the suitable content for engaging the community. The authors have used different methodologies – qualitative, quantitative, and mixed - to resolve the pertaining questions. The content could be anything – policy, interview, advertisement (digital or print), audio-visuals, letters, or communications (Kaurav, Suresh, Narula, & Baber, 2020; Kaurav, Narula, Baber, & Tiwari, 2021). The way of using content in such an innovative manner is the key to ultimate success (for the business or government).
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